

Lecture 8: Stackelberg game

Yi, Yung (이용) KAIST, Electrical Engineering http://lanada.kaist.ac.kr ylyung@kaist.edu



Contents

- Example of sequential game with continuous strategy space
- Power of backward induction to find the equilibrium
- Example: Stackelberg competition
 - Sequential version of Cournot dupoloy
- Stackelberg game
 - One player (the "leader") moves first, and all other players (the "followers") move after him.



Competition between two firms: Model

- Two firms (N = 2)
- Each firm chooses a quantity $s_n \ge 0$
- Cost of producing $s_n : c_n s_n$
- Demand (or Pricing) curve: Price = $P(s_1 + s_2) = a - b(s_1 + s_2)$
- Payoffs:
 - Profit = $\Pi_n(s_1, s_2) = P(s_1 + s_2) s_n c_n s_n$



Simultaneous Play: Cournot Competition (We've covered this earlier)





Best response

• Assume
$$c_1 = c_2 = c$$

• Best response set for player n to s_{-n} :

$$R_n(\mathbf{s}_n) = \arg \max_{s_n \in S_n} \prod_n (s_n, \mathbf{s}_n)$$

• Note: arg max_{$x \in X$} f(x) is the set of x that maximize f(x)





Example: Cournot duopoly

- Calculating the best response given s_{-n} : $\max_{s_n \ge 0} [(a - bs_n - bs_{-n})s_n - cs_n] \implies$
- Differentiate and solve:

$$a - c - bs_{-n} - 2bs_n = 0$$

• So the best response function is:

$$R_n(s_{-n}) = \left[\frac{a-c}{2b} - \frac{s_{-n}}{2}\right]^+$$





Example: Cournot duopoly







Sequential Play: Stackelberg Competition





Model Again

- Two firms (N = 2)
- Each firm chooses a quantity $s_n \ge 0$
- Cost of producing $s_n : c_n s_n$
- Demand (or Pricing) curve: Price = $P(s_1 + s_2) = a - b(s_1 + s_2)$
- Payoffs:

Profit = $\Pi_n(s_1, s_2) = P(s_1 + s_2) s_n - c_n s_n$





Stackelberg Competition

• Firm 1 moves before firm 2.

• Firm 2 observes firm 1's quantity choice s_1 , then chooses s_2 .

- Interesting question
 - How does the equilibrium change in this case?
 - Advantageous for firm 1 or firm 2?





СЛГ



Firm 2: Second Stage

- We solve the game using *backward induction*.
- Start with second stage: Given s_1 , firm 2 chooses s_2 as $s_2 = \arg \max_{s_2 \in s_2} \prod_2(s_1, s_2)$
- This is the best response $R_2(s_1)!$





Best response for firm 2

• Recall the best response given s_1 : $\max_{s_2 \ge 0} \left[(a - bs_2 - bs_1)s_2 - c_2s_2 \right] \implies$

• Differentiate and solve:

$$a - c_2 - bs_1 - 2bs_2 = 0$$

$$R_2(s_1) = \left[\frac{a - c_2}{2b} - \frac{s_1}{2}\right]^+$$





- Backward induction:
- Maximize firm 1's decision, accounting for firm 2's response at stage 2.
- Thus firm 1 chooses s_1 as

```
s_1 = \arg \max_{s_1 \in S_1} \prod_1 (s_1, R_2(s_1))
```





• Define
$$t_n = (a - c_n)/b$$
.

• If $s_1 \leq t_2$, then payoff to firm 1 is:

$$\Pi_1 = \left(a - bs_1 - b\left(\frac{t_2}{2} - \frac{s_1}{2}\right)\right)s_1 - c_1s_1$$

• If $s_1 > t_2$, then payoff to firm 1 is:

$$\Pi_1 = (a - bs_1) \, s_1 - c_1 s_1$$





• For simplicity, we assume that $2c_2 \le a + c_1$

• This assumption ensures that

$$(a - bs_1)s_1 - c_1s_1$$

• is strictly decreasing for $s_1 > t_2$.

• Thus firm 1's optimal s_1 must lie in $[0, t_2]$.





• If $s_1 \le t_2$, then payoff to firm 1 is: $\Pi_1 = \left(a - bs_1 - b\left(\frac{t_2}{2} - \frac{s_1}{2}\right)\right)s_1 - c_1s_1$





• If
$$s_1 \le t_2$$
, then payoff to firm 1 is:

$$\Pi_1 = \left(\frac{a}{2} - \frac{b}{2}s_1 + \frac{c_2}{2}\right)s_1 - c_1s_1$$





• If $s_1 \le t_2$, then payoff to firm 1 is:

$$\Pi_1 = -\frac{b}{2}s_1^2 + \left(\frac{a}{2} + \frac{c_2}{2} - c_1\right)s_1$$

• Thus optimal s_1 is:

$$s_1 = \frac{a - 2c_1 + c_2}{2b}$$





Stackelberg equilibrium

- So what is the Stackelberg equilibrium?
- Must give complete strategies:

 $s_1^* = (a - 2c_1 + c_2)/2b$

- $s_2^*(s_1) = (t_2/2 s_1/2)^+$
- The equilibrium outcome is that firm 1 plays s_1^* , and firm 2 pl ays $s_2^*(s_1^*)$.



Comparison: Simultaneous Play vs. Sequential Play





KAIS

Comparison to Cournot

• Assume
$$c_1 = c_2 = c$$
.

In Cournot equilibrium:
(1) s₁ = s₂ = t/3.
(2) Π₁ = Π₂ = (a - c)²/(9b).

In Stackelberg equilibrium:
(1) s₁ = t/2, s₂ = t/4.
(2) Π₁ = (a - c)²/(8b), Π₂ = (a - c)²/(16b)



Comparison to Cournot

• So in Stackelberg competition:

• The *leader* has *higher* profits

• The *follower* has *lower* profits

This is called a *first mover advantage*.





Stackelberg competition: moral

• Moral:

Additional information available can lower a player's payoff, if it is common knowledge that the player will have the additional information.

(*Here:* firm 1 takes advantage of knowing firm 2 knows s_1 .)



Summary

