

#### Introduction

#### Priority Queue

- Data structure for storing a collection of prioritized elements
- Supporting arbitrary element insertion
- Supporting removal of elements in order of priority

#### So far, we covered "position-based" data structures

- Stacks, queues, deques, lists, and even lists
- Store elements at specific positions (linear or hierarchical)
- Insertion and removal based on "position" (linear or hierarchical)
- But, priority queue
  - Insertion and removal: priority-based

Question: how to express the priority of an element

• Key (example: your student id)

# Priority Queue ADT

- A priority queue stores a collection of entries
- Typically, an entry is a pair (key, value), where the key indicates the priority
- Main methods of the Priority Queue ADT
  - insert(e) inserts an entry e (with an implicit associated key value)
  - removeMin() removes the entry with smallest key

- Additional methods
  - min() returns, but does not remove, an entry with smallest key
  - size(), empty()
- Applications:
  - Standby flyers
  - Auctions
  - Stock market

#### Total Order Relations (a topic of Discrete Math)

- Keys in a priority queue can be arbitrary objects on which an order is defined
- Two distinct entries in a priority queue can have the same key
- Total ordering
  - Comparison rule should be defined for every pair of keys

- ◆ Mathematical concept of total order relation ≤
  - Reflexive property:
     *x* ≤ *x*
  - Antisymmetric property:  $x \le y \land y \le x \Longrightarrow x = y$
  - Transitive property:  $x \le y \land y \le z \Longrightarrow x \le z$
- Satisfying the above three properties ensures:
  - Never leading to a comparison contradiction

#### Example: Total order & Partial order

- 2D points with (x-coordinate, y-coordinate)
  - Define relation '>=' based on x-first, and y-next
  - (4,3) >= (3,4), (3,5) >= (3,4)
  - Total ordering
  - What about defining relation '>=' based on both x and y
  - (4,3) >=(2,1), but (4,3) ??? (3,4)
  - Partial ordering
    - Comparison not defined for some objects
- We assume that we define a comparison that leads to total ordering.

# **Priority Queue Sorting**

- We can use a priority queue to sort a set of comparable elements
  - Insert the elements one by one with a series of insert operations
  - Remove the elements in sorted order with a series of removeMin operations
- The running time of this sorting method depends on the priority queue implementation

Algorithm *PQ-Sort(S, C)* Input sequence *S*, comparator *C* for the elements of *S* Output sequence *S* sorted in increasing order according to *C*   $P \leftarrow$  priority queue with comparator *C* while  $\neg S.empty$  ()  $e \leftarrow S.front(); S.eraseFront()$  *P.insert* ( $e, \emptyset$ ) while  $\neg P.empty()$   $e \leftarrow P.removeMin()$ *S.insertBack(e)* 

6

# Sequence-based Priority Queue

 Implementation with an unsorted list



- Performance:
  - insert takes O(1) time since we can insert the item at the beginning or end of the sequence
  - removeMin and min take
     O(n) time since we have to traverse the entire sequence to find the smallest key

Implementation with a sorted list



- Performance:
  - insert takes O(n) time since we have to find the place where to insert the item
  - removeMin and min take O(1) time, since the smallest key is at the beginning

## Selection-Sort

- Selection-sort is the variation of PQ-sort where the priority queue is implemented with an unsorted sequence
- Running time of Selection-sort:
  - 1. Inserting the elements into the priority queue with *n* insert operations takes *O*(*n*) time
  - 2. Removing the elements in sorted order from the priority queue with *n* removeMin operations takes time proportional to
    - 1 + 2 + ...+ **n**
- Selection-sort runs in  $O(n^2)$  time

#### Selection-Sort Example

Input:	Sequence S (7,4,8,2,5,3,9)	Priority Queue P ()
Phase 1 (a)	(4.8.2.5.3.9)	(7)
(b)	(8,2,5,3,9)	(7,4)
 (g)	()	(7,4,8,2,5,3,9)
Phase 2		
(a)	(2)	(7,4,8,5,3,9)
(b)	(2,3)	(7,4,8,5,9)
(c)	(2,3,4)	(7,8,5,9)
(d)	(2,3,4,5)	(7,8,9)
(e)	(2,3,4,5,7)	(8,9)
(f)	(2,3,4,5,7,8)	(9)
(g)	(2,3,4,5,7,8,9)	()

#### Insertion-Sort

- Insertion-sort is the variation of PQ-sort where the priority queue is implemented with a sorted sequence
- Running time of Insertion-sort:
  - 1. Inserting the elements into the priority queue with *n* insert operations takes time proportional to

1 + 2 + …+ *n* 

10

- 2. Removing the elements in sorted order from the priority queue with a series of *n* removeMin operations takes *O*(*n*) time
- Insertion-sort runs in  $O(n^2)$  time

9

## Insertion-Sort Example

Input:	Sequence S (7,4,8,2,5,3,9)	Priority queue P ()
Phase 1		
(a)	(4,8,2,5,3,9)	(7)
(b)	(8,2,5,3,9)	(4,7)
(c)	(2,5,3,9)	(4,7,8)
(d)	(5,3,9)	(2,4,7,8)
(e)	(3,9)	(2,4,5,7,8)
(f)	(9)	(2,3,4,5,7,8)
(g)	()	(2,3,4,5,7,8,9)
Phase 2		
(a)	(2)	(3,4,5,7,8,9)
(b)	(2,3)	(4,5,7,8,9)
(g)	(2,3,4,5,7,8,9)	()



#### How to define order for any object? (1)

- Integer, float, double
  - Quite clear on how to define "order"
- Student: id, sex, department
  - S1 is less than S2? In what sense?
- Flight Passengers: airplane number, seat number, sex
  - P1 is less than P2? In what sense?
- How to design "comparison logic" in a programming language?
- What design is good?

## Design 1: Separate Design

- Different Priority Queue based on the element type and the manner of comparing elements
- PQ\_Int, PQ\_Student, PQ\_XXX
- Simple, but not general
- Many copies of the same code



# Design 2: Template and Overloading (2)



# Design 3: Separating Comparator (1)

- 2D points: Point2D p, Point 2: q
  - Sometimes we want either of X-based comparison, Y-based comparison
- 🔶 Idea
  - Define a comparator class, e.g., "LeftRight" (x-based) and "BottomTop" (y-based)
  - Overload "()" operator

13

#### Design 3: Separating Comparator (2)

Point2D p(1.3, 5.7), q(2.5, 0.6);	// two points	
LeftRight leftRight;	<pre>// a left-right comparator</pre>	
BottomTop bottomTop;	<pre>// a bottom-top comparator</pre>	
printSmaller(p, q, leftRight);	// outputs: (1.3, 5.7)	
printSmaller(p, q, bottomTop);	// outputs: (2.5, 0.6)	

template <typename E, typename C> // element type and comparator void printSmaller(const E& p, const E& q, const C& isLess) { cout << (isLess(p, q) ? p : q) << endl; // print the smaller of p and q }





#include <functional> #include <iostream> bool operator()(int a, int b) const return a > b; } std::array<int, 10> s = {5, 7, 4, 2, 8, 6, 1, 9, 0, 3}; // sort using the default operator<
std::sort(s.begin(), s.end());</pre> for (int i=0 ; i<s.size();i++) {
 std::cout << s[i] << " ";</pre> std::cout << '\n';</pre> MyLess myless; std::sort(s.begin(), s.end(), myless); for (int i=0 ; i<s.size();i++) {
 std::cout << s[i] << " ";</pre> std::cout << '\n';</pre> [yi@iMacyung ~/tmp]# ./a.out 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 876543210

#### **Recall Priority Queue ADT**

 A priority queue stores a collection of entries

In C++

17

- Typically, an entry is a pair (key, value), where the key indicates the priority
- Main methods of the Priority Queue ADT
  - insert(e) inserts an entry e
  - removeMin() removes the entry with smallest key

- Additional methods
  - min() returns, but does not remove, an entry with smallest key
  - size(), empty()
- Applications:
  - Standby flyers
  - Auctions
  - Stock market

# **Recall PQ Sorting**

- ♦ We use a priority queue
  - Insert the elements with a series of insert operations
  - Remove the elements in sorted order with a series of removeMin operations
- The running time depends on the priority queue implementation:
  - Unsorted sequence gives selection-sort: O(n<sup>2</sup>) time
  - Sorted sequence gives insertionsort: O(n<sup>2</sup>) time
- Can we do better? Balancing the above



- Algorithm PQ-Sort(S, C) Input sequence S, comparator C for the elements of S Output sequence S sorted in increasing order according to C  $P \leftarrow$  priority queue with comparator C while  $\neg S.empty$  ()
  - $e \leftarrow S.front(); S.eraseFront()$  *P.insert*  $(e, \emptyset)$ while  $\neg P.empty()$

e ← P.removeMin() S.insertBack(e)

21

## We will have these results soon ...

#### Sequence-based

Unsorted List	Sorted List
<i>O</i> (1)	<i>O</i> (1)
<i>O</i> (1)	O(n)
O(n)	<i>O</i> (1)
	$     \begin{array}{r} \textbf{Unsorted List} \\ O(1) \\ O(1) \\ O(n) \\ \end{array} $

#### Heap-based

Operation	Time
size, empty	<i>O</i> (1)
min	<i>O</i> (1)
insert	$O(\log n)$
removeMin	$O(\log n)$

#### Key: Where were the "unnecessary repetitions" and "stupidity"?

22

## Heap: Overview

- A heap is a binary tree storing keys at its nodes and satisfying the following properties:
  - 1. Heap-order property
  - 2. Complete binary tree property
- The last node of a heap is the rightmost node of maximum depth



## 1. Heap-order property

- ◆ 1. Heap-Order: for every internal node v other than the root, key(v) ≥ key(parent(v))
  - The keys encountered on a path from the root to a leaf T are nondecreasing
  - A minimum key: always at the root



# 2. Complete binary tree property

- Complete Binary Tree
  - Roughly speaking, every level, except for the last level, is completely filled, and all nodes in the last level are as far left as possible.
- let h be the height of the heap
  - for i = 0, ..., h 1, there are  $2^i$  nodes of depth i
  - at depth h 1, the internal nodes are to the left of the external nodes



25

# Heaps and Priority Queues

- We can use a heap to implement a priority queue
  - We say "heap-based PQ implementation"
- ◆ We store a (key, element) item at each internal node
- We keep track of the position of the last node
  - I am able to know who is the last node in O(1) time
  - Easy



# Height of a Heap of *n* elements

- Theorem: A heap storing *n* keys has height *O*(log *n*)
   Proof: (we apply the complete binary tree property)
  - Let *h* be the height of a heap storing *n* keys
  - Since there are 2<sup>i</sup> keys at depth *i* = 0, ..., *h* − 1 and at least one key at depth *h*, we have *n* ≥ 1 + 2 + 4 + ... + 2<sup>*h*−1</sup> + 1
  - Thus,  $n \ge 2^h$ , i.e.,  $h \le \log n$



26

## Insertion into a Heap

- Method insert of the priority queue ADT corresponds to the insertion of a key k to the heap
- The insertion algorithm consists of three steps
  - Find the insertion node z (the new last node)
    - How? discussed later
  - Store *k* at *z*
  - Restore the heap-order property (discussed next)



## Upheap

- $\bullet$  After the insertion of a new key k, the heap-order property may be violated
- Algorithm upheap restores the heap-order property by swapping k along an upward path from the insertion node
- Upheap terminates when the key k reaches the root or a node whose parent has a key smaller than or equal to k
- Since a heap has height  $O(\log n)$ , upheap runs in  $O(\log n)$  time



29

# Removal from a Heap

- Method removeMin of the priority queue ADT corresponds to the removal of the root key from the heap
- The removal algorithm consists of three steps
  - Replace the root key with the key of the last node w
  - Remove *w*
  - Restore the heap-order property (discussed next)





#### Downheap

- After replacing the root key with the key k of the last node, the heap-order property may be violated
- Algorithm downheap restores the heap-order property by swapping key k along a downward path from the root (but which path?)
- Upheap terminates when key k reaches a leaf or a node whose children have keys greater than or equal to k
- Since a heap has height  $O(\log n)$ , downheap runs in  $O(\log n)$  time



#### removeMin



## Updating the Last Node

- How can we find the insertion node (a new last node)?
  - The insertion node can be found by traversing a path of  $O(\log n)$  nodes
  - (1) Go up until a left child or the root is reached
  - (2) If a left child is reached, go to the right child
  - (3) Go down left until a leaf is reached
- Similar algorithm for updating the last node after a removal



## Heap-Sort

- Consider a priority queue with *n* items implemented by means of a heap
  - the space used is **O**(**n**)
  - methods insert and removeMin take O(log n) time
  - methods size, empty, and min take time O(1) time

- Using a heap-based priority queue, we can sort a sequence of *n* elements in *O*(*n* log *n*) time
  - Construction: n insertions
  - Actual sorting: n removals
- The resulting algorithm is called heap-sort
- Heap-sort is much faster than quadratic sorting algorithms, such as insertion-sort and selection-sort



#### Sequence-based vs. Heap-based

#### Sequence-based

Operation	Unsorted List	Sorted List
size, empty	<i>O</i> (1)	<i>O</i> (1)
insert	<i>O</i> (1)	O(n)
min, removeMin	O(n)	<i>O</i> (1)

#### Heap-based

Operation	Time
size, empty	<i>O</i> (1)
min	<i>O</i> (1)
insert	$O(\log n)$
removeMin	$O(\log n)$

#### How do we remove "stupid repetition"?

## Vector-based Heap Implementation

- We can represent a heap with *n* keys by means of a vector of length *n* + 1
- For the node at rank *i* 
  - the left child is at rank 2*i*
  - the right child is at rank 2i + 1
- Links between nodes are not explicitly stored
- ◆ The cell of at rank 0 is not used
- Operation insert corresponds to inserting at rank n + 1
- Operation removeMin corresponds to removing at rank
   n



# 2 5 6 9 7 0 1 2 3 4 5

# Merging Two Heaps

- We are given two heaps and a key k
- We create a new heap with the root node storing k and with the two heaps as subtrees
- We perform downheap to restore the heap-order property





38

